

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,986	05/04/2001	Eyal Raz	UCAL 168	8751
	90 03/17/2003 FIELD & EDANGISTA	r n		
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP 200 MIDDLEFIELD RD SUITE 200 MENLO PARK, CA 94025			EXAMINER	
			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/848,986 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634

Raz

Denied	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
	Period for Reply					
IHEI	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
- if NO p	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause	y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.				
- Any re	eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	f this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 30,					
2a) 🗌		ction is non-final.				
	closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex p_i$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) 🗶	Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 21</u>	is/are pending in the application.				
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s)					
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 21</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗔	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)		e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.				
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🗀	All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) ∐ 15\□	The following the following the provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summer (PTO-413) Pener No.(a)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
	re or Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s),1	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
, V	Metion Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO-1445) Faper NO(s).	6) 😡 Other: Detailed Action				

Application/Control Number: 09/848,986

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. New claim 21 has been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) as being anticipated by Dynan (PCT International Publication Number WO 99/33971) (July 8, 1999).

Dynan teaches a method for identifying an agent that modulates a biological activity of DNA-PK (Abstract), comprising:

- a) adding an agent to be tested to a sample, the sample comprising DNA-PK and an immunomodulatory nucleic acid molecule, under conditions which favor binding of the immunomodulatory nucleic acid molecule to DNA-PK, thereby forming a test sample (Example 4, Page 45, line 1 to page 46, line 11); and
- b) detecting a biological activity of DNA-PK protein in the test sample, as compared to a control sample lacking the agent, wherein an increase or a decrease in the biological activity of

Art Unit: 1634

DNA-PK indicates that the agent modulates a biological activity of DNA-PK (Figure 2A and Example 4, page 46, lines 12-22).

Dynan teaches a method, wherein the biological activity of DNA-PK is binding to an immunomodulatory nucleic acid molecule (Abstract and Example 1, and Page 2, lines 8-32).

Dynan teaches a method, wherein the method is a cell-free method, and the immunomodulatory nucleic acid molecule is detectably labeled (Page 24, line 26 to page 28, line 7).

Dynan teaches a method, wherein the biological activity of DNA-PK is activation of DNA-PKcs kinase activity (Example 4, Page 45, lines 15-31).

This rejection is based on the fact that nucleic acid molecules, capable of binding with Ku protein, can modulate the immune system because Ku protein was first identified as an autoantigen in sera from certain patients with autoimmune disease, and Ku protein is the regulatory component of the DNA-dependent protein kinase (Page 1, lines 15-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

Application/Control Number: 09/848,986

Art Unit: 1634

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Dynan (PCT International Publication Number WO 99/33971) (July 8, 1999) in view of Ray (PCT International Publication Number WO 99/11275) (March 11, 1999).

Dynan teaches the method of claims 8-11 as described above.

Dynan does not teach the method, wherein an amount of IL-12 produced by the cell is measured.

Ray teaches the method, wherein an amount of IL-12 produced by the cell is measured (Figure 1, and Claims 34 and 36).

Dynan does not teach the method, wherein the immunomodulatory nucleic acid molecule comprises a nucleotide sequence selected from 5'-Purine-Purine-C-G-Pyrimidine-Pyrimidine-3'.

Ray teaches the method, wherein the immunomodulatory nucleic acid molecule comprises a nucleotide sequence selected from 5'-Purine-Purine-C-G-Pyrimidine-Pyrimidine-3 (Claim 2, Page 42).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

Art Unit: 1634

the invention was made to combine and substitute the method wherein IL-12 is measured and the immunomodulatory nucleic acid molecule comprises a nucleotide sequence selected from 5'-Purine-Purine-C-G-Pyrimidine-Pyrimidine-3 of Ray in the method of Dynan since Ray states, "The invention relates to methods for preventing or reducing antigen-stimulated, granulocytemediated inflammation in tissue of an antigen-sensitized mammal host by delivering an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide to the host. In addition, methods for using the immunostimulatory oligonucleotides to boost a mammal host's immune responsiveness to a sensitizing antigen (without immunization of the host by the antigen) and shifting the host's immune responsiveness to a Th1 phenotype to achieve various therapeutic ends are provided (Abstract)". An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine and substitute the method wherein IL-12 is measured and the immunomodulatory nucleic acid molecule comprises a nucleotide sequence selected from 5'-Purine-Purine-C-G-Pyrimidine-Pyrimidine-3 of Ray in the method of Dynan, in order to achieve the express advantage, as noted by Ray, of an invention that relates to methods for preventing or reducing antigen-stimulated, granulocyte-mediated inflammation in tissue of an antigen-sensitized mammal host by delivering an immunostimulatory oligonucleotide to the host and in addition, provides methods for using the immunostimulatory oligonucleotides to boost a mammal host's immune responsiveness to a sensitizing antigen (without immunization of the host by the antigen) and shifting the host's immune responsiveness to a Th1 phenotype to achieve various therapeutic ends.

Art Unit: 1634

Response to Amendment

6. In response to amendment, new 103(a) rejection has been included.

Response to Arguments

7. In response to argument, all previous rejections have been withdrawn and new 102(a) and 103(a) rejections have been included. Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the analyst of this Group Chantae Dessau, who can be reached at (703)605-1237.

Arun Chakrabarti,

ARUN K. CHAKRABART
PATENT EXAMINED

Patent Examiner,

March 14, 2003